Docket No. CISCO-3168





RECEIVED

MAY 2 3 2001

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

Technology Center 216

My correct residence, post office address and citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe myself to be the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and first joint inventor (if more than one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

"COMMAND AUTHORIZATION VIA RADIUS"

			"COMMA	ND AUTHORIZ	A () () () ()					
•	The spe	ecification of the	his subject	matter:					٠	
		is attached hereto.								
	xx	was filed onDecember 7, 2000;								
		was assigned serial No. <u>09/733,617</u> ;								
		which was a	mended or	n						
do not be my investion sale in the has not applicate represedesign	pelieve to the ention there the Unit to been period in a centative patent and the ention in a lacknown in a lackno	that the claims areof, or pate of or more that ed States of A satented or mainly country for a sor assigns mapplication) provided the daccordance with the country country for a sor assigns mapplication of the country country for a sor assigns mapplication of the country claim foreigns are the country claim foreigns.	ed invention anted or de an one year America mone ade the subtreign to the more than the fior to this alluty to discount in 37 C.F. In priority between the subtree and the su	lose information	wn or used in printed public polication, that ar prior to this ar prior to this tor's certification of America of America of for a utility part which is made \$5 U.S.C. §11	ration in any at the same vis application an application an application at entrapplication at entrapplication and the attention at the attention attention at the attention attention at the attention at the attention at the attention attention at the attention a	country to was not in and that efore the tion filed tion) or sexamina any foreign to the country foreign.	pefore republicate the industrial date of the	my c use on vention of this or my this (fo	or on on legal or a
		ntor's certificat App <u>lication(s)</u>	te naving a	tilling date belo	re maior tre	αρμησαιστ		Prio	rity-C1	-
Prior F	oreign /	Application(s)			•			2 MZ	R -4	-CH
Numbe	91	Country		Month/Day/\	ear Filed	Yes	No	IL ROOM	2001	TIVED
Numbe	ər	Country		Month/Day/\	ear Filed	⊸ Yes	No	M		\$
Numbe	er	Country		Month/Day/	Year Filed	Yes	No			

F-050

From-Thelen, Re

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application Number	Filing Date	
Application Number	Filing Date	

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in these prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Registration No. 31,562; Marc S. Hanish, Registration No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Registration No. 42,125; Gerhard W. Thielman, Registration No. 43,186; Adrienne Yeung, Registration No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Registration No. 40,299, and William Samuel Niece Registration No. P 47,824, as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

Marc S. Hanish Thelen Reid & Priest LLP P.O. Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640 Telephone (408) 292-5800

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Docket No. CISCO-3168

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR 1	FIRST Name	MIDDLE Initial(s)		LAST Name	
Gregory			Weber		
RESIDENCE AN	D City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citi	zenship
USA		Tennessee	USA		
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	Number and Street	City	State or	Country	Zip Code
5532 W	oodburn Drive	Knoxville		Tennessee.	37932
FULL NAME OF INVENTOR 2 Laurence	FIRST Name	MIDDLE Initial(s)	Grant	LAST Name	
RESIDENCE AN CITIZENSHIP	D City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Cit	izenship
British Citizen		California	Britain		
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	Number and Street	City	State or	Country	Zip Code
1688 Br	addock Ct.	San Jose		California, 95	5125
made upon infor	mation and belief are be	ents made herein of my own knowledge lieved to be true; and further that these nd the like so made are punishable by	statemer	nts were made	with the
Section 1001 of of the application	Title 18 of the United State or any patent issuing to	ates Code, and that such willful false st hereon.	atements	may jeopardize	e the validity
Gregory Weber		Date Laurence Grant		Date	

Docket No. CISCO-3168

37 C.F.R. §1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1,97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to who
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.